

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

STEPHEN CAVANAUGH,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RANDY BARTELT, et al.,

Defendants.

4:14-CV-3183

ORDER


For the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum and Order of April 12, 2016 (filing [47](#)), the Court finds that any amendment to the plaintiff's complaint would be futile. The plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended complaint (filing [50](#)) will be denied, and his motion for extension of time to file a proposed amended complaint (filing [49](#)) will be denied as moot.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint (filing [50](#)) is denied.
2. The plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to File a Proposed Amended Complaint (filing [49](#)) is denied as moot.

Dated this 20th day of April, 2016.

BY THE COURT:



John M. Gerrard
United States District Judge